ECONOMIC COMPARISON OF DIFFERENT CROPPING SYSTEMS IN AGRO-ECOLOGICAL CONDITIONS OF GUJRANWALA ZONE
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ABSTRACT

A field trial was conducted at Adaptive Research Farm, Gujranwala, Pakistan during the year 2010-12 to check the most profitable cropping pattern for Gujranwala zone. The study comprised four cropping systems viz. rice-wheat, rice-green manuring-rice-wheat, rice-berseem-rice-wheat and rice-canola-rice-wheat. Layout system was RCB with three replications. Plot size was kept as 15 m x 21 m. Rice crop (cv. Super Basmati) was transplanted on 26th July, 2010 and 13th July, 2011 while wheat, berseem and canola were sown soon after rice harvesting on November 12, 2010. During 2011 wheat (cv. Seher-2006) was sown on November 10 after rice harvesting on 2nd November. Maximum yield of rice (4.20 t/ha) was obtained in rice-green manuring-rice-wheat cropping pattern due to addition of organic matter which showed positive effects on rice yield and succeeding crop. The highest net return (Rs.315643/ha) was recorded in rice-berseem-rice-wheat cropping pattern followed by rice-green manuring-rice-wheat (Rs. 283441 ha). It was noted that green manuring crop did not contribute to the income. The cost of cultivation was minimum in case of rice-green manuring-rice-wheat cropping pattern (Rs. 83325/ha) followed by rice-berseem-rice-wheat system (Rs. 95175/ha). Maximum benefit cost ratio (3.32) was also recorded in rice-berseem-rice-wheat cropping system. So the rice-berseem-rice-wheat was found as the most profitable cropping system for Gujranwala zone.
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INTRODUCTION

A cropping system is an approach to help farmer in decision making to remain sustainable in an ever-changing agricultural environment. Cropping system may be mono-cropping or multiple cropping. Mono-cropping system
means raising of just one crop year after year, while in case of multiple cropping system two or more than two crops are sown on the same piece of land in a year. The cropping system adopted by the farmer must be physically viable, sustainable, less exhaustive acceptable to farming community and most important thing is that it should be economical.

The significant changes in cropping systems may be induced due to the relative prices rather than productivity (15, 16). An intensive cropping system should be highly productive, profitable and also could maintain soil fertility (4). The problems of price fluctuation, environmental pollution due to excessive use of pesticides and fertilizer and unemployment may be alleviated by changing of cropping system (5). Similarly the risk of low profitability, pest attack and risks of aberrant weather may be reduced by introducing new crops in cropping system (9). Most of the cropping systems adopted by farmers are exhaustive and deteriorate the soil fertility. This soil fertility deterioration leads to low productivity and ultimately lowers the income. In this regard the cropping system should be restorative. The inclusion of crops like oilseeds, vegetables, fodder especially legume fodder (berseem, lucern, etc.) and pulses will improve the economic conditions of small and marginal farmers (13). Rotation of high value crops especially legumes are very popular among people as these are beneficial to soil fertility and cereals productivity (1.12). Legumes improve soil health by fixing atmospheric nitrogen and may partially supplement the use of inorganic fertilizer (10).

The present study was conducted to find out the most profitable cropping system for the farmers in agro-ecological zone of Gujranwala.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This study was carried out at Adaptive Research Farm, Gujranwala, Pakistan during 2010-2012. Four different cropping systems i.e. rice-wheat-rice-wheat (T₁), rice-green manuring-rice-wheat (T₂), rice-berseem-rice-wheat (T₃) and rice-canola-rice-wheat (T₄) were evaluated in RCBD with three replications as detailed below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cropping systems</th>
<th>Summer 2010</th>
<th>Winter 2010-11</th>
<th>Summer 2011</th>
<th>Winter 2011-12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T₁</td>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>Wheat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₂</td>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>Green manuring</td>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>Wheat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₃</td>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>Berseem</td>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>Wheat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₄</td>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>Canola</td>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>Wheat</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The plot size was kept as 15m x 21m. Rice variety Super Basmati was transplanted on 26th July 2010 and 13th July 2011 in 1st and 3rd seasons,
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respectively. During 2010 wheat, berseem and canola crops were sown soon after rice harvesting on 12th November according to different cropping systems. Jantar crop was sown on 20th April 2011 for green manuring which was incorporated in the soil on July, 2011 before puddling for rice transplantation. Wheat crop (cv. Sehar-2006) was sown on 10th November, 2011 after rice harvesting on 2nd November, 2011 and harvested on 26th April 2012. Recommended fertilizer and irrigations to each crop were applied at appropriate time. All crops were raised following recommended package of production technology. The gross benefits for each cropping pattern were calculated by multiplying the market price of the produce with crop yield while net benefits for each cropping pattern were calculated by subtracting the total variable cost from gross benefits. The benefit cost ratio for each cropping system was calculated by dividing net return with cost of production.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Crops yield

The results (Table 1) showed that the highest rice grain yield (4.20 t/ha) was obtained from T2 where green manuring was done. It was followed by T3 (3.61 t/ha) where berseem (legumes crop) was sown. Rice crop sown after wheat and canola produced less yield i.e. 3.35 and 3.32 tons per hectare, respectively (Table 1).

Table 1. Yield of crops in comparison with rice grain yield (t/ha) obtained under different cropping systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>1st season (Summer, 2010)</th>
<th>2nd season (Winter, 2010-11)</th>
<th>3rd season (Summer, 2011)</th>
<th>4th season (Winter, 2011-12)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>Rice 3.38</td>
<td>Wheat 2.83</td>
<td>Rice 3.35</td>
<td>Wheat 3.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>“ 3.37</td>
<td>Green manuring</td>
<td>“ 4.20</td>
<td>“ 3.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>“ 3.33</td>
<td>Berseem</td>
<td>“ 3.61</td>
<td>“ 3.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>“ 3.35</td>
<td>Canola 0.42</td>
<td>“ 3.32</td>
<td>“ 3.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The increase in rice yield in green manuring treatment (T2) could be due to increase in organic matter, addition of crop essential nutrients in soil especially nitrogen and improvement in water holding capacity of soil. Similarly in T3 where rice was sown after berseem, yield was higher than T1 and T4. This is due to fixing of nitrogen in the soil by nitrogen fixing bacteria. Hegde (6) also reported that inclusion of legume crops in rice based cropping system increased the production of rice crop. Prasad et al. (8) and Anwar et al.(3) reported that legumes have direct benefit of nitrogen fixation through root nodules and enhance soil fertility which could be used for companion as well as subsequent crops.

The highest wheat grain yield (3.31 t/ha) was also received from green manured treatment (T2) followed by T3 (3.21 t) where berseem crop was sown before rice. Minimum wheat yield (3.04 t/ha) was noted in T1. The increase in wheat grain yield might be due to green manuring and nitrogen fixation by berseem crop. These results agree to those of Akhtar et al. (2). Prasad et al. (8) also observed that green manuring has good effects on grain yield of rice and succeeding crops.

**Gross returns**

The data (Fig. 1) showed that T3 (rice-berseem-rice-wheat) gave maximum gross return (Rs.410818/ha). The reason is that berseem crop gave maximum income as compared to other treatments. It was followed by T1 (rice-wheat-rice-wheat) (Rs.382816) and this cropping system is dominant in the area due to the reason that both crops have good sale value in the market. In T2 (rice-green manuring-rice-wheat) gross return was slightly more than T4 because of increase in the production of succeeding crops after green manuring. Minimum gross return (Rs.336875) was noted in T4 (rice-canola-rice-wheat) due to less production and price of canola crop.
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**Cost of cultivation**

Maximum cost of cultivation (Rs.99375/ha) was noted in T1 which is the most dominant cropping system of the area (Fig. 2). Both rice and wheat crops need more inputs and management practices than crops of other cropping systems. Minimum cost of cultivation (Rs.83325/ha) was involved in T2 because green manuring crop needed minimum inputs. Moreover, fertilizer requirements of succeeding crops reduced due to green manuring.
Net return

Maximum net return (Rs 315643/ha) was obtained in rice-berseem-rice-wheat (T₃) cropping system (Fig. 3). Higher net return in this treatment is due to high price of berseem fodder and nitrogen fixation by berseem crop which gave positive effect on yield of succeeding crops. It was followed by T₁ (Rs. 283441) i.e. rice-wheat-rice-wheat cropping system which is conventional cropping system of Gujranwala zone and both the crops are high value cash crops. The net return of T₂ (rice-green manuring-rice wheat cropping system) was Rs 268565 per hectare. The reason of this low net return in this treatment is green manuring crop which did not contribute to the income. Rice-canola-rice-wheat cropping system (T₄) produced minimum net return (241800/ha) due to low yield of canola crop in rice area.

Benefit cost ratio

The economic analysis (Fig. 4) showed the highest benefit cost ratio (3.32) also in rice-berseem-rice-wheat cropping system (T3). This highest benefit cost ratio might be due to the high price of berseem and increase in production of rice and wheat sown after berseem which is a nitrogen fixing crop. It also reduced the weeds population in subsequent wheat crop. So nitrogen fixation and lesser weeds infestation resulted in reducing cost of inputs i.e. fertilizers and herbicides. Moreover, less cost is involved in berseem cultivation than wheat crop. These results agree to those of Singh et al. (14) who reported that inclusion of legumes in multiple cropping systems offer many advantages to the farmers. Second highest benefit cost ratio (3.22) was recorded in rice-green manuring-rice-wheat cropping system (T2). This is due to the beneficial effect of green manuring. Although green manuring crop did not contribute to direct income yet it increased the soil fertility due to which yield of succeeding crops increased. BCR of rice-wheat-rice-wheat (T1) which is the most common cropping system in the area, attained third position (2.85). Minimum benefit cost ratio (2.54) was recorded in rice-canola-rice-wheat cropping system (T4). This is because of low yield of canola crop in the rice area and farmers of rice region do not prefer to grow canola crop. Shah et al. (11) and Padhi (7) also reported that although price of oilseed crops are higher but their yields are not equivalent to other crops of cropping systems.

CONCLUSION

The study concludes that inclusion of berseem in existing cropping pattern of rice-wheat-rice-wheat in Gujranwala zone is beneficial in terms of nitrogen
fixation and reduction in inputs cost of fertilizers and herbicides. So rice-berseem-rice-wheat cropping system is the most profitable cropping system in this zone.
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